





PTO/SB/21 (03-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. QMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT GROWMERCE
of to a collection of information unless it displays a valid QMB Offitto number.

| Under the Par | perwork Rec | tuction Act of 1995 | no person: | U.S. Patent s are required to respond to a collection | t and Traden n of informat | nark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC ion unless it displays a valid OMB boottol number | E ' |
|---|-------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|-----|
| TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) | | | | Application Number | 10/073 | 7, 48 | ્રો |
| | | | | Filing Date | | 8 | ~ |
| | | | First Named Inventor | David S. Browe | | | |
| | | | Art Unit | 2838 | | 么 | |
| | | | Examiner Name | Pia F. Tibbits | | ल | |
| Total Number of Pages in This Submission | | | 16 | Attorney Docket Number | | | J |
| | | | ENCI | LOSURES (Check all that | apply) | | |
| Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | | | Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) | ess | After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): | | |
| | | SIGNA | TURE C | OF APPLICANT, ATTORNI | EY. OR A | AGENT . | |
| Firm Werner H. Schroeder or Individual | | | | | • | | |
| Signature Jon | | | A. Shreeder | | | | |
| Date 04/01/03 | | | | | | | |
| | | С | ERTIFIC | CATE OF TRANSMISSION | /MAILIN | G | 1 |
| | | | | nsmitted to the USPTO or deposited w r Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on to | | d <u>States Postal Service with s</u> ufficient postage a | 5 |
| Typed or printe | d | Wemer H. Sch | roeder | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Signature | | | | V 60 | , | Date 04/01/03 | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.



Response to first Office Action

RECEIVED

#PR - 9 2003 FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application Sn 10/073,582

This is a response to a first Office Action in this application having a mailing date of 02/23/2003 and setting forth a shortened period for response of three months which would expire on 05/23/03. In response to the office action, the undersigned has to admit that the office action is the most critical of almost every word in the specification and the claims and the total disclosure ever received by the underisgned. Nevertheless, the applicant will answer the above identified office action following the numerical sequence of the paragraphs.

Applicant notices the first paragraph after the heading "detailed Action"

The examiner is informed that the application at hand was prepared by a Patent Agent who is now of record in this case. It is believed that the application at hand was prepared in a professional way and manner and following the PTO's rules and regulations. The mere fact that an agent or attorney is not mentioned in the application papers should not cause the examiner to automatically assume that there is no professional counsel. Therefore, the form paragraph 17.01 is misplaced in this Office Action.

1. The examiner states: "that the specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified". The applicant does not understand what the examiner's problem is with this paragraph of the MPEP.

The applicant clearly stated in the Oath and Declaration: Forms PTO/SB/01 (1 of 2) and Form PTO/SB o1 (2 of 2) in the preprinted section of this form that what the examiner says is missing, is not.

Where in the MPEP does it state that the applicant's signature must include a notary's signature?.

Where in the MPEP and anywhere else is there requirement for a notary seal?